UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al., f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.,

Case No. 09-50026 (MG)
(Jointly Administered)

Debtors.

ORDER VACATING STAY ISSUED IN MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER ENFORCING PROVISIONS OF SALE ORDER REGARDING ASSUMPTION OF LIABILITY OF PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS OF KAITLYN REICHWALDT

On August 31, 2017, this Court entered a *Memorandum Opinion and Order Enforcing Provisions of Sale Order Regarding Assumption of Liability of Product Liability Claims of Kaitlyn Reichwaldt* (the "Opinion and Order," ECF Doc. # 14087),¹ finding that Plaintiff Kaitlyn

Reichwaldt's proposed First Amended Complaint (the "Proposed FAC," ECF Doc. # 14068-1)

could not pass through the bankruptcy gate because, in conflict with the Court's prior rulings

with respect to Independent Claims,² the Proposed FAC (i) failed to clearly differentiate between

Old GM and New GM; and (ii) failed to identify specific conduct of New GM upon which the

purportedly Independent Claims were based. (Opinion and Order at 15.) The Court stayed and

enjoined Plaintiff and her counsel from prosecuting the Reichwaldt Action³ in the Georgia

Federal Court (the "Stay") pending further order of the Court, and directed counsel for the parties

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Opinion and Order.

² See In re Motors Liquidation Co., 568 B.R. 217 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2017) and In re Motors Liquidation Co., Case No. 09-50026 (MG), 2017 WL 2963374 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. July 12, 2017).

³ Case No. 1:16-cv-02171-twt.

09-50026-mg Doc 14111 Filed 09/19/17 Entered 09/19/17 14:43:20 Main Document

to meet and confer in an effort to agree upon a revised version of the Proposed FAC, removing

impermissible allegations and clarifying the allegations against New GM. (Id. at 17.) On

September 14, 2017, Plaintiff filed a revised Proposed FAC (the "Revised FAC," ECF Doc #

14102-2) addressing the Proposed FAC's deficiencies under the Opinion and Order. On

September 13, 2017, Defendants filed a letter to the Court (ECF Doc. # 14098), arguing that

Plaintiff impermissibly added new and different allegations to the Revised FAC, and the Revised

FAC should not pass through the bankruptcy gate.

The Court has reviewed the Revised FAC, and finds that it does not conflict with this

Court's prior rulings with respect to impermissible allegations concerning Independent Claims.

Nothing in the Opinion and Order prevented the Plaintiff from adding allegations to its Proposed

FAC. As this Court has explained, "[t]he Court's role, then, is a gatekeeper role. It should be

the court to decide what claims and allegations should get through the gate, under the Sale Order

and this Court's prior decisions." In re Motors Liquidation, Co., 568 B.R. at 222 (internal

citation omitted). The Revised FAC "gets through the gate." Whether the Revised FAC

approved by this Court states a cause of action and otherwise meets the pleading standards under

applicable nonbankruptcy law is a matter for the Georgia Federal Court to decide.

The Stay previously entered in the Opinion and Order is hereby vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2017

New York, New York

MARTIN GLENN

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Martin Alenn

2